

DIAMOND McCARTHY LLP

Andrew B. Ryan, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
909 Fannin, 15th Floor
Houston, TX 77010
Telephone: 713-333-5100
Facsimile: 713-333-5199
ryan@diamondmccarthy.com

*Counsel for Allan B. Diamond
Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP*

KORNFIELD, NYBERG, BENDES & KUHNER P.C.

Eric A. Nyberg, Esq. (Bar No. 131105)
Chris D. Kuhner, Esq. (Bar No. 173291)
1970 Broadway, Suite 225
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: 510-763-1000
Facsimile: 510-273-8669

*Local Counsel for Allan B. Diamond,
Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

TO THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Allan B. Diamond, the chapter 11 trustee (“Trustee”) of the estate of Howrey LLP (“Howrey” or “Debtor”), hereby submits this motion (“Motion”) pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) for entry of an order approving the Citibank Settlement between the Trustee, on the one hand, and Citibank, N.A., a national banking association (“Citibank”) on the other, and represents as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. The Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334(a) and (b). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b) (2).

BACKGROUND

2. Citibank is Howrey's pre-petition secured lender. Howrey and Citibank are party to, among other things: (i) that certain Fourth Amended and Restated Secured Loan Agreement dated as of October 19, 2010, by and between Howrey, as Borrower, and Citibank, as Lender, as amended by a First Amendment thereto dated as of December 3, 2010, and as further amended by a Second Amendment thereto dated as of December 20, 2010 (as so amended, the "Pre-Petition Financing Agreement"); (ii) that certain Fourth Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated as of October 19, 2010, made by and among Howrey and certain of Howrey's domestic non-debtor affiliates, as Grantors, and Citibank, as Lender (as so amended, the "Security Agreement"); (iii) those certain guaranties by such non-debtor affiliates in favor of Citibank; (iv) those certain promissory notes issued from time to time by Howrey in favor of Citibank in accordance with the Pre-Petition Financing Agreement; and (v) each other "Loan Document" as defined in the Pre-Petition Financing Agreement (collectively, the "Pre-Petition Financing Documents").

3. On January 19, 2011, Howrey provided a Compliance Certificate to Citibank acknowledging that Howrey was in default under the Pre-Petition Financing Documents.

⁴ On March 3, 2011, Citibank served Howrey with a formal notice of default.

5. On March 9, 2011, the requisite number of Howrey's partners voted to dissolve the partnership effective March 15, 2011.

6. On or about March 28, 2011, Howrey and Baker & Hostetler LLP (“B&H”) entered into the Case Transfer and Allocation of Hours Agreement (the “Transfer Agreement”). The

1 Transfer Agreement specified, among other things, the terms under which B&H and Howrey
2 would allocate any future recoveries in two antitrust class actions which Howrey had previously
3 pursued on a contingent fee basis: (i) a case in the United States District Court for the Eastern
4 District of Tennessee, Case No. 2:08-md-01000 (hereinafter “SE Milk”); and (ii) a case in the
5 United States District Court for the District of Vermont, Case No. 5:09-cv-00230 (hereinafter
6 “NE Milk”; together with SE Milk, the “Milk Cases”).
7

8 7. On April 11, 2011 (the “Petition Date”), certain creditors of Howrey filed an
9 involuntary petition for relief under chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code initiating
10 Case No. 11-31376-DM (the “Bankruptcy Case”) pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court
11 for the Northern District of California (the “Bankruptcy Court”). On June 6, 2013, the
12 Bankruptcy Court entered an order converting the Bankruptcy Case to a voluntary case under
13 chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
14

15 8. As of the Petition Date, the principal amount of loans outstanding under the Pre-
16 Petition Financing Documents was approximately \$57 million, together with all accrued interest,
17 fees, undrawn Letters of Credit, unreimbursed drawings under Letters of Credit, swap
18 obligations and other amounts owing under the Pre-Petition Financing Documents (the “Pre-
19 Petition Debt”).
20

21 9. Pursuant to the terms of the Pre-Petition Financing Documents, the Pre-Petition Debt
22 is secured by, among other things, liens and security interests (the “Pre-Petition Liens”) granted
23 by Howrey on substantially all of its personal property assets, including, without limitation,
24 Howrey’s main operating account maintained with Citibank (the “Operating Account”).
25

26 10. Since the Petition Date, Citibank has continued to accrue and incur: (i) interest on the
Pre-Petition Debt at the default rate provided by the Pre-Petition Financing Documents (the
“Post-Petition Default Interest”); and (ii) attorney and other professional fees, costs, and
27

1 expenses incurred in representing Citibank's interests in the Bankruptcy Case (the "Post-Petition
2 Fees").

3 11. On October 12, 2011, the Bankruptcy Court entered an Order Approving
4 Appointment of Chapter 11 Trustee whereby the Bankruptcy Court approved Allan Diamond as
5 Trustee.

6 12. Since the Petition Date, Citibank has permitted both Howrey's dissolution committee
7 and the Trustee to use its cash collateral (as that term is defined in Bankruptcy Code Section
8 363(a)), pursuant to orders entered by the Bankruptcy Court on June 14, 2011; August 31, 2011;
9 and December 9, 2011 (the "Cash Collateral Orders").

10 13. Since the Trustee's appointment, he has pursued Howrey's unfinished business and
11 partner clawback claims against Howrey's former partners and the law firms that they joined. In
12 addition to other litigation the Trustee is pursuing (including, without limitation, other avoidance
13 actions under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code and breach of fiduciary duty claims), the Trustee
14 and his counsel believe this litigation is not subject to Citibank's Pre-Petition Liens (all such
15 litigation claims collectively referred to herein as the "Unencumbered Litigation"). However,
16 the Trustee and his counsel understand that Citibank contends that the Unencumbered Litigation
17 is subject to its Pre-Petition Liens and has reserved its rights to assert an interest in any
18 recoveries on such claims. The Trustee continues to pursue the Unencumbered Litigation.

19 14. On March 29, 2013, the Trustee reached a settlement (the "ALAS Settlement") with
20 Attorneys' Liability Assurance Society (Bermuda) Ltd. ("ALAS Ltd.") and Attorneys' Liability
21 Assurance Society, Inc., a Risk Retention Group ("ALAS, Inc."). Under the terms of the ALAS
22 Settlement, Howrey will receive, among other potential monies, a specified amount of its share
23 of the net worth of ALAS Ltd., computed and distributable in accordance with the Bye-Laws of
24 ALAS Ltd., on May 1st of each of 2014, 2015, and 2016 (all such amounts owing to Howrey or

1 the Trustee under the ALAS Settlement collectively referred to herein as the “Future ALAS
2 Payments”). The Bankruptcy Court entered an order approving the ALAS Settlement on April
3 29, 2013.

4 15. On or about July 24, 2013, the Trustee reached a settlement (the “B&H Settlement”)
5 with B&H and the former Howrey partners who joined B&H (the “B&H Former Howrey
6 Partners”). The B&H Settlement resolves Howrey’s potential claims against B&H for: (i)
7 fraudulent transfer claims related to the Transfer Agreement; (ii) unfinished hourly business
8 claims; (iii) claims arising under Section 549 of the Bankruptcy Code; (iv) partner clawback
9 claims against the B&H Former Howrey Partners; and (v) any future contingent fee recoveries
10 payable to Howrey under the Transfer Agreement, as modified by the B&H Settlement (the
11 contingent fee recoveries referred to in this clause are hereinafter the “Future Contingent
12 Interests”). Pursuant to the B&H Settlement, Howrey shall receive cash totaling \$41,015,057
13 (the “B&H Settlement Cash”), in addition to the Future Contingent Interests.
14

16 16. Citibank and the Trustee agree that, of the B&H Settlement Cash: (i) \$35,421,121 is
17 unquestionably attributable to recoveries from the Milk Cases that Howrey would have received
18 under the Transfer Agreement and therefore is subject to Citibank’s Pre-Petition Liens; and (ii)
19 the amount recovered by Howrey from B&H in excess of the amount Howrey would have
20 otherwise received under the Transfer Agreement is \$3,326,190 (the “Incremental Interest”).
21 Citibank and the Trustee dispute whether the Incremental Interest is also subject to Citibank’s
22 Pre-Petition Liens.
23

24 17. As of August 8, 2013, \$617,433 was on deposit in Howrey’s Operating Account,
25 which the Trustee acknowledges is cash collateral subject to Citibank’s Pre-Petition Liens and
26 the provisions of the Cash Collateral Orders.

1 18. As of September 30, 2013, Citibank and the Trustee agree that Howrey will owe
2 Citibank a total of approximately \$41,368,566, which is comprised of the following: (i)
3 \$34,288,208 in principal on the Pre-Petition Debt; (ii) \$348,484 in pre-petition interest on the
4 Pre-Petition Debt; (iii) \$5,255,836 in Post-Petition Default Interest; and (iv) \$1,476,038 in Post-
5 Petition Fees, which includes estimated Post-Petition Fees through such date.
6

7 **Disputes with Citibank and the Negotiation of a Settlement**

8 19. As part of analyzing the Citibank Claim, I and my counsel have analyzed whether
9 Citibank would prevail in litigation over whether the value of the Debtor's assets subject to
10 Citibank's Pre-Petition Liens and post-petition liens (granted under the Cash Collateral Orders)
11 exceed the Citibank Claim. Based upon my counsel's advice and my knowledge of the Debtor's
12 asset categories, I believe it is likely that Citibank would prevail in litigation that it was (and is)
13 over-secured at all relevant times.
14

15 20. Section 506(b) of the Bankruptcy Code provides in relevant part that “[t]o the extent
16 that an allowed secured claim is secured by property the value of which ... is greater than the
17 amount of such claim, there shall be allowed to the holder of such claim, interest on such claim,
18 and any reasonable fees, costs, or charges provided for under the agreement or State statute
19 under which such claim arose.” 11 U.S.C. § 506(b).

20 21. Section 506(a)(1) provides that the value of the secured creditor's interest in the
21 collateral securing its claim is to be determined “in light of the purpose of the valuation and the
22 proposed disposition or use of such property, and in conjunction with any hearing on such
23 disposition or use or on a plan affecting such creditor's interest.” 11 U.S.C. § 506(a)
24 (1). Pursuant to this section, property may be valued at different times for different purposes.
25

26 22. For example, by the time of the Cash Collateral Orders, Howrey owed Citibank
approximately \$57 million under the Pre-Petition Financing Documents. Effective September

1 30, 2013, the Citibank Claim totals approximately \$41,368,566. The collateral pledged to secure
2 the Citibank Claim includes, among other assets: (a) interests in Howrey's work in progress,
3 unbilled time, and accounts receivables; (b) interests in Howrey's contingent fee matters; (c)
4 funds in Howrey's Operating Account; and (d) such further assets covered by replacement liens
5 on the Debtor's post-petition assets to the extent of the approximately \$19 million in cash
6 collateral consumed by the Estate during this chapter 11 case (more than \$11mm of which was
7 consumed prior to my appointment).

9 23. A rough calculation demonstrates why Citibank is currently oversecured, and was
10 likely over-secured at all points during this Bankruptcy Case. I agree that the collateral pledged
11 to secure the Citibank Claim includes, among other things, \$35,421,121 of the B&H Cash,
12 \$617,433 of cash collateral on deposit with the Trustee and replacement liens on all of the
13 Debtor's post-petition assets to the extent of the approximately \$19 million in cash collateral
14 consumed by the estate during this chapter 11 case. Thus, *without* even taking into account other
15 valuable pre-petition assets, such as those discussed in the paragraphs below, the value of the
16 collateral currently (soon to be monetized, subject to this Court's order) available to Citibank to
17 satisfy the Citibank Claim of approximately \$41,368,566 is between \$55 million and \$56
18 million.

20 24. Moreover, Citibank would cite additional valuable collateral in support of its
21 oversecurity claim. For example, Citibank's Pre-Petition Liens extend to whatever interest
22 Howrey has in its remaining contingency fee cases, such as NE Milk/DFA and Online
23 DVD/Walmart and Netflix, among others. In just one settlement in Online DVD (Walmart),
24 Howrey's *quantum meruit* interest may be worth several million dollars. Additionally, Citibank
25 has claimed both pre-petition and post-petition liens over Howrey's Net Worth Interest in ALAS,
26 which was valued in excess of \$7 million as of February 2013. Citibank has also claimed pre-

1 petition and post-petition liens over partner clawback and unfinished business claims, the value
2 of which is substantial.

3 25. Based on this data, my review of the law, my discussions with counsel, my
4 discussions with Committee counsel, and my settlement discussions with Citibank, I believe
5 Citibank would likely prevail in litigation over whether the Citibank Claim is oversecured.
6 Regardless of probability of success, I am certain that Citibank would litigate the issue
7 vigorously and to a full and complete conclusion (including any appeals as necessary). Despite
8 this determination, however, I was able to convince Citibank to provide a discount of over \$1.7
9 million to the Estate from what Citibank would receive if it prevailed on the oversecurity issue.

10 26. Once it became apparent that the Trustee would reach final agreement regarding the
11 B&H Settlement, the Trustee and his counsel began discussions with Citibank and its counsel
12 regarding how to allocate the cash portion of the B&H Settlement. During those discussions, it
13 was clear that various matters were disputes that, if not resolved amicably, were likely to lead to
14 protracted litigation that could take years to resolve.

15 27. First, Citibank and the Trustee have a dispute regarding the scope of Citibank's Pre-
16 Petition Liens. Citibank contends that its Pre-Petition Liens extend to all of the cash recovered
17 under the B&H Settlement, including the Incremental Interest, as well as to amounts recovered
18 on Unencumbered Litigation. While the Trustee disagrees with Citibank's contentions and
19 believe the Estate owns 100% of the Incremental Interest and amounts recovered on the
20 Unencumbered Litigation free and clear of the Pre-Petition Liens, the Trustee acknowledges that
21 there are potentially meritorious arguments to the contrary, and any such litigation would require
22 (a) construing the Transfer Agreement and related documents, (b) construing the Pre-Petition
23 Liens and related documents, (c) construing the Cash Collateral Orders and related documents,
24 and (d) applying the terms of all of these documents consistently and in accordance with

1 bankruptcy law. Moreover, resolution of these issues likely would require additional intensive
2 fact inquiry into all of the circumstances surrounding the negotiation and execution of the
3 Transfer Agreement and the Pre-Petition Financing Documents.

4 28. Second, Citibank and the Trustee have had longstanding and continuing discussions
5 regarding the Estate's continued use of Citibank's cash collateral in the Operating Account. The
6 Estate requires various funds to maintain Estate operations and continue to pursue claims and
7 litigation for the benefit of the Estate and its constituencies. Citibank contends that the B&H
8 Settlement would leave the estate with millions of dollars to fund continued operations and
9 litigation, which would eliminate Howrey's need for continued use of Citibank's cash collateral.
10 While the Trustee agrees with Citibank that the B&H Settlement will result in a significant
11 recovery and provide much needed cash to the estate, the Trustee was not willing to pay Citibank
12 the funds in the Operating Account without first ensuring that: (a) the Estate indeed received
13 sufficient amounts from the B&H Settlement to fund operations and litigation; and (b) Citibank
14 would agree to certain concessions regarding Post-Petition Default Interest.

15 29. Third, Citibank and the Trustee have a dispute regarding Citibank's accrual of Post-
16 Petition Default Interest inasmuch as the Trustee believes that Citibank's continued accrual of
17 post-petition interest at the default, rather than contract, rate would be inequitable if Citibank's
18 principal is repaid in full, and particularly if Citibank were to compound its interest at the default
19 rate which it is contractually entitled to do.

20 30. With these and other issues as the background for our conversations, the Trustee and
21 his counsel negotiated with Citibank intensively over the past few weeks. In preparation for
22 these discussions, either the Trustee or his counsel have: (a) discussed, analyzed, and reviewed
23 the legal and factual issues surrounding the disputes between Howrey and Citibank; and (b)
24 discussed, analyzed, and reviewed the estate's financial position.

1 31. Early this month, the Trustee reached an agreement in principle with Citibank, which
2 we proceeded to reduce to a written settlement agreement. By August 15, 2013, the parties
3 signed the Citibank Settlement, which is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

4 32. Under the terms of the Citibank Settlement, the Howrey estate receives:

- 5
- 6 • An agreement from Citibank that will permit the estate to retain
\$4,596,079 of the cash portion of the B&H Settlement.
 - 7 • An agreement from Citibank to allocate the Initial Citibank Paydown (as
that term is defined in the Citibank Settlement) to completely satisfy the principal
and pre-petition interest portions of the Pre-Petition Debt, and to reduce the post-
petition interest by nearly half. This will pay off – and stop the accrual of post-
petition interest on – nearly 90% of the Pre-Petition Debt.
 - 10 • An agreement from Citibank to voluntarily reduce the Unpaid Citibank
Claim (as that term is defined in the Citibank Settlement) by an amount equal to
the difference between (a) the amount of Post-Petition Default Interest owing as
of the Effective Date and (b) the amount of post-petition interest which would
have accrued through the Effective Date if such interest were calculated at the
contract non-default rate pursuant to the Pre-Petition Financing Documents. For
illustrative purposes only, if the Effective Date were to occur on September 30,
2013, this would result in a discount of approximately \$1,761,129, which
represents more than 33% of the total amount of post-petition interest claimed by
Citibank and exceeds the net present value discount that the Estate accepted in
connection with the structured pay-out portion of the B&H Settlement.

17 33. Under the Citibank Settlement, Citibank receives:

- 18
- 19 • A paydown through a portion of the B&H Settlement and a transfer from
the Operating Account totaling \$37,036,411.
 - 20 • The Trustee's agreement that post-petition interest (at the non-default
contract rate) and additional attorney or professional fees, costs, and expenses will
continue to accrue until the Discounted Unpaid Citibank Claim is indefeasibly
repaid in full.
 - 23 • The Trustee's agreement that it will promptly upon receipt pay over to
Citibank (a) 100% of any amounts received or recovered on account of the Future
Contingent Interests (as that term is defined in the Citibank Settlement), (b) 25%
of any amounts received or recovered on account of the Future ALAS Payments,
(c) 25% of any amounts received or recovered on account of the Unencumbered
Litigation, and (d) 100% of any amounts received or recovered on account of any
other assets (other than as described in clauses (a) – (c) above) that are subject to

1 the Pre-Petition Liens, including, without limitation, the proceeds of any of
2 Howrey's non-contingent accounts receivable and personal property

- 3
- 4 • The Trustee also has the unilateral discretion to accelerate future payments
to Citibank in order to pay off the remaining Discounted Unpaid Citibank Claim
in full, and secure final releases for and on behalf of the Estate.

5 **BASIS FOR RELIEF**

6 34. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that “[o]n motion by the trustee and after notice
7 and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement.”

8 35. “The bankruptcy court has ‘great latitude’ in approving compromise agreements.”
9 *Woodson v. Fireman’s Insurance, Co. (In re Woodson)*, 839 F.2d 610, 620 (9th Cir. 1988).
10 However, the compromise must be “fair and equitable” and “in the best interest of the estate.”
11 *Martin v. Kane (In re A & C Properties)*, 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied sub*
12 *nom. Martin v. Robinson*, 479 U.S. 854 (1986).

13 36. To evaluate a compromise, a bankruptcy court considers “(a) [t]he probability of
14 success in the litigation; (b) the difficulties, if any, to be encountered in the matter of collection;
15 (c) the complexity of the litigation involved, and the expense, inconvenience and delay
16 necessarily attending it; [and] (d) the paramount interest of the creditors and a proper deference
17 to their reasonable views in the premises.” *In re A & C Properties*, 784 F.3d at 1381.
18

19 37. Although the Trustee, as the proponent of the settlement, bears the burden of
20 persuasion (*see id.*), “a court generally gives deference to a trustee’s business judgment in
21 deciding whether to settle a matter,” *In re Mickey Thompson, Entertainment Group, Inc.*, 292
22 B.R. 415, 420 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003).

23 38. Here, as supported by the Trustee’s accompanying declaration attached hereto as
24 **Exhibit B**, the factors weigh in favor of settlement.
25

1 **39. Factor #1: Probability of Success in the Litigation.** The Citibank Settlement aligns
2 with what I and my counsel estimate to be the approximate probability of success in any
3 litigation with Citibank. Specifically, the settlement with Citibank allocates only 30% of the
4 Incremental Interest to Citibank, which reflects a 70% probability that I would prevail in
5 litigation on this issue. Similarly, the settlement with Citibank allocates only 25% of future
6 payments (such as the ALAS Future Payments and future recoveries from the Unencumbered
7 Litigation) to the Discounted Unpaid Citibank Claim, which reflects a 75% probability that I
8 would prevail in litigation on this issue. If Howrey lost this litigation, however, the estate would
9 lose 100% of both the Incremental Interest and the future payments. Settling at a 25 to 30% risk
10 factor to avoid this possibility is consistent with my assessment of the relative strengths of the
11 Estate's and Citibank's legal arguments and therefore supports the first *A&C Properties* factor.
12

13 **40. Factor #2: The Difficulties to be Encountered in Collection.** Here, the collection
14 issue is whether the estate would be permitted to utilize any monies recovered in the B&H
15 Settlement while litigating with Citibank. If Citibank prevailed in prohibiting the Estate from
16 using the B&H Settlement to fund operations or litigation, or if Citibank refused continued
17 access to its cash collateral, the estate's ongoing operations and litigation would be jeopardized,
18 which would have a significant deleterious impact on creditor recoveries. The settlement with
19 Citibank avoids this result, and ensures that the Estate will have sufficient resources to fund
20 valuable litigation claims, which will inure to the benefit of all creditors. Thus, I believe the
21 Citibank Settlement satisfies the second *A&C Properties* factor.
22

23 **41. Factor #3: The Complexity of the Litigation Involved and its Expense,**
24 **Inconvenience and Delay.** Litigating with Citibank over the scope of its Pre-Petition Liens and
25 any additional security provided by the Cash Collateral Orders is complex for the reasons
26 described above. However, the larger issue is expense, inconvenience, and delay – without the

1 B&H Settlement and the Citibank Settlement, the estate will continue to be largely dependent
2 upon continued use of Citibank's cash collateral (which, itself, has been dramatically depleted
3 during this Bankruptcy Case). This would leave the estate without the funds or time to litigate
4 with Citibank over the scope of its Pre-Petition Liens. Further, the inconvenience of litigating
5 with Citibank would be substantial: I am making progress in completing additional settlements
6 of all kinds (including, without limitation, unfinished business, clawback, gap avoidance,
7 preference, and breach of fiduciary claims). Moreover, I will be preparing for and continuing
8 with pending litigation against myriad defendants involving significant unfinished business and
9 clawback claims that are being defended vigorously. (Many motions to dismiss those claims are
10 set for hearing in October 2013.) I am further dealing with a multitude of other administrative
11 issues and potential litigation claims in the Estate. Adding litigation against a determined and
12 well-funded opponent would only serve to inconvenience the Estate by distracting me from the
13 litigation recoveries that will begin to repay administrative, priority, and general unsecured
14 creditors. I therefore believe it is beyond doubt that the Citibank Settlement satisfies the third
15 *A&C Properties* factor.

16 **42. Factor #4: The Paramount Interest of the Creditors.** Finally, the Citibank
17 Settlement is in the best interests of all creditors. In the short-term, the Citibank Settlement
18 eliminates the administrative expense associated with requested funding and negotiating budgets
19 with Citibank. In the long-term, this settlement brings the estate significantly closer to being
20 able to formulate and propose a chapter 11 plan, as well as trying to remit funds to priority and
21 general unsecured creditors. Since the beginning of this Bankruptcy Case, recoveries to priority
22 and general unsecured creditors has been subordinated to Citibank's secured debt. This
23 settlement will satisfy the vast majority of this debt, leaving little to be satisfied from ongoing
24 recoveries (some of which, like the ALAS Future Payments, are already in place).

For these and other reasons, I have obtained the unqualified approval of the Creditors Committee for the Citibank Settlement. Accordingly, the Citibank Settlement meets the fourth and final *A&C Properties* factor.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court grant the Motion and enter and order approving the Debtor's compromise with Citibank as described above.

Dated: August 16, 2013

/s/ Andrew B. Ryan
Stephen T. Loden, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
Andrew B. Ryan, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
DIAMOND McCARTHY LLP
909 Fannin, 15th Floor
Houston, TX 77010
Telephone: 713-333-5100
Facsimile: 713-333-5199
sloden@diamondmccarthy.com
ryan@diamondmccarthy.com

Eric A. Nyberg, Esq. (Bar No. 131105)
Chris D. Kuhner, Esq. (Bar No. 173291)
KORNFIELD, NYBERG, BENDES & KUHNER,
P.C.
1970 Broadway, Suite 225
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: 510-763-1000
Facsimile: 510-273-8669

*Counsel for Allan B. Diamond,
Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP*

NOTICE OF SERVICE

X (CM/ECF) The document was electronically served on the parties to this action via the mandatory United States Bankruptcy Court of California CM/ECF system upon filing of above described document:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

X (ELECTRONIC MAIL SERVICE) By electronic mail (e-mail) the above listed document(s) without error to the email address(es) set forth below on this date:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

X (UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-referenced documents for mailing in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, at Houston, Texas, to the parties listed, at their last known mailing addresses, on this date:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

(OVERNIGHT COURIER) By depositing a true and correct copy of the above referenced document for overnight delivery via Federal Express, at a collection facility maintained for such purpose, addressed to the parties on the attached service list, at their last known delivery address, on the date above written.

(COURIER SERVICE) By providing true and correct copies of the above referenced documents [with copies of the supporting detailed invoices/attorney time records for the Final Fee Application] via courier delivery, to the following on or about _____:

(FACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-referenced document via facsimile, to the facsimile numbers indicated, to those people listed on the attached service list, on the date above written.

/s/ Andrew B. Ryan

Andrew B. Ryan

1 **VIA CM/ECF:**

2 **United States Trustee**

3 Minnie Loo, Esq.
4 Donna S. Tamanaha, Esq.
5 Office of the U.S. Trustee
6 235 Pine Street, 7th Floor
7 San Francisco, CA 94104-3484
8 *Email: Minnie.Loo@usdoj.gov*
9 *Email: Donna.S.Tamanaha@usdoj.gov*
10 *Email: USTPRegion17.SF.ECF@usdoj.gov*
11 *Email: ltroxas@hotmail.com*

12 **Chapter 11 Trustee**

13 Allan B. Diamond
14 **Diamond McCarthy, LLP**
15 Two Houston Center
16 909 Fannin Street, Suite 1500
17 Houston, Texas 77010
18 *Email: adiamond@diamondmccarthy.com*

19 **Counsel for the Chapter 11 Trustee**

20 **Diamond McCarthy, LLP**
21 Howard D. Ressler, Esq.
22 *Email: hressler@diamondmccarthy.com*
23 Stephen T. Loden, Esq.
24 *Email: słoden@diamondmccarthy.com*
25 Jason M. Rudd, Esq.
26 *Email: jrudd@diamondmccarthy.com*
27 Andrew B. Ryan, Esq.
28 *Email: aryan@diamondmccarthy.com*

29 **Kornfield Nyberg Bender & Kuhner P.C.**
30 Eric Nyberg
31 *Email: e.nyberg@kornfieldlaw.com*
32 Chris D. Kuhner
33 *Email: c.kuhner@kornfieldlaw.com*

34 **Debtor's Counsel**

35 **Wiley Rein LLP**
36 H. Jason Gold
37 Valerie P. Morison
38 Dylan G. Trache
39 *Email: jgold@wileyrein.com*
40 *Email: vmorrison@wileyrein.com*
41 *Email: dtrache@wileyrein.com*

42 **Murray & Murray**
43 Robert A. Franklin
44 Craig M. Prim
45 Jenny Lynn Fountain

		<i>Email:</i> rfranklin@murraylaw.com
1		<i>Email:</i> cprim@murraylaw.com
2		<i>Email:</i> jfountain@murraylaw.com
3		
4		Joel D. Adler <i>Email:</i> jadler@adlerlaw.net
5	Duane Morris LLP	Geoffrey A. Heaton, Esq. <i>Email:</i> gheaton@duanemorris.com
6		Aron M. Oiner, Esq. <i>Email:</i> roliner@duanemorris.com
7		
8	Law Offices of Latham & Watkins	Kimberly A. Posin, Esq. <i>Email:</i> kim.posin@lw.com
9		<i>Email:</i> colleen.greenwood@lw.com
10	Murray & Murray	Craig M. Prim, Esq. <i>Email:</i> cprim@murraylaw.com
11		Robert A. Franklin, Esq. <i>Email:</i> rfranklin@murraylaw.com
12		Jenny L. Fountain, Esq. <i>Email:</i> jlfountain@murraylaw.com
13		
14	Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	Whiteford, Taylor & Preston LLP
15		Bradford F. Englander, Esq. <i>Email:</i> benglander@wtplaw.com
16		John F. Carlton, Esq. <i>Email:</i> jcarlton@wtplaw.com
17		Justin P. Fasano, Esq. <i>Email:</i> jfasano@wtplaw.com
18		
19	Counsel for The Irvine Company, LLC	Allen Matkins, et al. <i>Email:</i> mgreger@allenmatkins.com
20		<i>Email:</i> krodriguez@allenmatkins.com
21	Counsel for Creditor Citibank, N.A.	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison Kelley A. Cornish, Esq. <i>Email:</i> kcornish@paulweiss.com
22		
23		
24	Counsel for Creditor Protiviti, Inc.	McNutt Law Group, LLP Scott H. McNutt, Esq. <i>Email:</i> smcnutt@ml-sf.com
25		
26		Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl & Jones John D. Fiero, Esq. <i>Email:</i> jfiero@pszjlaw.com

	Counsel for Creditor Oracle America, Inc.
	Shawn M. Christianson, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> schristianson@buchalter.com
	Perkins Coie LLP
	David J. Gold, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> dgold@perkinscoie.com
	Gabriel Liao, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> glliao@perkinscoie.com
	Perkins Coie LLP
	Alan D. Smith, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> adsmith@perkinscoie.com
	Trepel McGrane Greenfield LLP
	Maureen A. Harrington, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> mharrington@tmcgllaw.com
	Christopher D. Sullivan, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> csullivan@tgslaw.com
	A. Morgan Jones, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> amorganjones@msn.com
	<i>Email:</i> truckepam@yahoo.com
	Allen, Matkins, Leck, Gamble and Mallory
	William W. Huckins
	<i>Email:</i> whuckins@allenmatkins.com
	McKenna Long and Aldridge LLP
	Gregg S. Kleiner, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> gkleiner@mckennalong.com
	Michael A. Isaacs, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> misaacs@mckennalong.com
	<i>Email:</i> aworthing@mckennalong.com
	Dewey and LeBoeuf
	Paul S. Jasper, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> pjasper@dl.com
	Bartlett, Hackett and Feinberg
	Frank F. McGinn, Esq.
	<i>Email:</i> ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com

1 **Counsel for Creditor Hines REIT 321**
2 **North Clark Street, LLC**

3 **Counsel for Creditor Stephanie Langley**

4 **Counsel for Creditor Stephanie Langley**

5 **Counsel for Creditor Pension Benefit**
6 **Guaranty Corp.**

7 **Counsel for Interested Party Connecticut**
8 **General Life Insurance Company**

9 **Counsel for Howrey Claims LLC**

10 **Counsel for Interested Party Connecticut**
11 **General Life Insurance Co.**

12 **Counsel for Creditor Knickerbocker**
13 **Properties, Inc. XXXIII**

14 **Counsel for Creditor Texas Comptroller**
15 **of Public Accounts**

16 **Counsel for Creditor 200 S. Main Street**
17 **Investors, LLC**

DLA Piper LLP
Frank T. Pepler, Esq.
Email: frank.pepler@dlapiper.com

Outten and Golden LLP
Rene S. Roupinian, Esq.
Email: rst@outtengolden.com
Email: jxh@outtengolden.com

Law Offices of James D. Wood
James D. Wood, Esq.
Email: jdw@jdwoodlaw.com

Office of the Chief Counsel
John Holland Ginsberg, Esq.
Email: ginsberg.john@pbgc.gov

Schnader Harrison Segal and Lewis
Melissa Lor, Esq.
Email: MLor@Schnader.com

McGrane LLP
William McGrane, Esq.
Email: william.mcgrane@mcgranellp.com

Klein, DeNatale, Goldner, Cooper,
Rosenlieb & Kimball, LLP
Christian D. Jinkerson, Esq.
ijinkerson@kleinlaw.com

Melissa Lor
Email: mlor@schnader.com

Seyfarth Shaw LLP
Scott Olson, Esq.
Email: solson@seyfarth.com

Bankruptcy & Collections Division
Kimberly Walsh, Esq.
Email: bk-kwalsh@oag.state.tx.us

Ballard Spahr Andrews and Ingersoll
Rebecca J. Winthrop, Esq.
Email: WinthropR@ballardspahr.com
Penny M. Costa, Esq.
Email: costap@ballardspahr.com

1
2
Counsel for Defendant Kelly Services, Inc.

Nancy J. Newman
Email: nnewman@hansonbridgett.com

3
Counsel for Creditor Rami Dalal

Ruth Elin Auerbach, Esq.
Email: attorneyruth@sbcglobaln.net

4
5
**Counsel for Defendant Sheppard Mullin
6 Richter & Hampton LLP**

Teresa A. Blasberg, Esq.
Email: tablasberg@earthlink.net

Richard W. Brunette, Esq.
Email: rbrunette@sheppardmullin.com
Email: rryland@sheppardmullin.com

7
8
Counsel for Defendant Barbara G. Werther

Louis J. Cisz, III, Esq.
Email: lcisz@nixonpeabody.com

9
10
**Counsel for Defendant Davidson Law Group,
11 Ralls & Niece LLP, Ropes & Gray LLP,
12 Ben Davidson, Geert Goeteyn, John W. Ralls,
13 Stephen Mavroghenis, Informal Group of Certain
Former Howrey Attorneys, and Teresa Corbin**

Robert E. Clark, Esq.
Email: robert.clark@dumasclark.com

14
15
Counsel for Defendant Recomind, Inc.

16
17
Patrick M. Costello, Esq.
Email: pcostello@vectislawgroup.com
Email: clee@vectislawgroup.com

18
19
Counsel for Defendant Hogan Lovells US LLP

20
21
Diana D. DiGennaro, Esq.
Email: diana.digennaro@aporter.com
Email: jhoskins@howardrice.com
Jonathan Hughes, Esq.
Email: jonathan.hughes@aporter.com
Email: joanne.caruso@aporter.com
Pamela Phillips, Esq.
Email: pamela.phillips@aporter.com
Email: sfcalendar@aporter.com

22
23
**Counsel for Defendant James R Batchelder,
And Interested Party Certain Former Partners
24 Partners of Day Casebeer Madrid & Batchelder LLP**

25
26
G. Larry Engel, Esq.
Email: lengel@mofo.com
Vincent J. Novak
Email: vnovak@mofo.com
Email: jkline@mofo.com

Counsel for Defendant Baker Hughes Incorporated Gregg M. Ficks, Esq.
Email: gfficks@coblenzlaw.com

Counsel for Interested Party Informal Group of Matthew Heyn, Esq.

1	Former Level II Howrey Partners	<i>Email:</i> mheyn@ktbslaw.com
2	Counsel for Defendant Medco Health Solutions, Inc.	Michael B. Ijams, Esq. <i>Email:</i> mijams@curtislegalgroup.com <i>Email:</i> achristensen@curtislegalgroup.com
3	Counsel for Defendant Legal Placements, Inc. And Lexolution, LLC	Bruce H. Jackson, Esq. <i>Email:</i> bruce.jackson@bakermckenzie.com <i>Email:</i> christine.vonseeburg@bakermckenzie.com
4	Counsel for Defendant Ceridian Benefit Services, Inc.	Ori Katz, Esq <i>Email:</i> okatz@sheppardmullins.com
5	Counsel for Defendant Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP	Louise E. Ma, Esq. <i>Email:</i> lma@kilpatricktownsend.com <i>Email:</i> sbaker-lehne@kilpatricktownsend.com
6	Counsel for Interested Party Ad Hoc Committee Of Certain Former Howrey Partners	John H. MacConaghy, Esq. <i>Email:</i> macclaw@macbarlaw.com <i>Email:</i> smansour@macbarlaw.com <i>Email:</i> kmuller@macbarlaw.com
7	Counsel for Defendant Hunton & Williams LLP	Matthew A. Mannerling, Esq. <i>Email:</i> mmannerling@hunton.com <i>Email:</i> lgodfrey@hunton.com
8	Counsel for Defendant Bunsow De Mory Smith & Allison LLP	Randy Michelson, Esq. <i>Email:</i> randy.michelson@michelsonlawgroup.com
9	Counsel for Defendant Venable LLP	Jennifer L. Nassiri, Esq. <i>Email:</i> jnassiri@venable.com
10	Counsel for Defendant TSG Reporting, Inc. & Stockton LLP	Howard S. Nevins, Esq. <i>Email:</i> hnevins@hsmlaw.com <i>Email:</i> lsamosa@hsmlaw.com
11	Counsel for Defendant Intelligent Management Solutions, I	Valerie Banter Peo, Esq. <i>Email:</i> vpeo@schnader.com <i>Email:</i> mpadilla@schnader.com
12	Counsel for Defendant Cornerstone Research, Inc.	Steven G. Polard, Esq. <i>Email:</i> stevenpolard@dwt.com <i>Email:</i> melissawells@dwt.com

1 **Counsel for Defendants Joseph Albanese &
2 Associates, Inc., Stemcell Technologies, Inc.,
3 and Leigh Kirmsse**

Scott A. Schiff, Esq.
Email: sas@soukup-schiff.com

4 **Counsel for Defendant SJ Berwin LLP, and
5 Defendant Michael Knospe,
6 ctatelbaum@hinshawlaw.com**

Charles M. Tatelbaum, Esq.
Email:

Email: csmith@hinshawlaw.com

7 **Counsel for Defendant Haynes and Boone, LLP**

Alan R. Wechsler, Esq.
Email: alan.wechsler@haynesboone.com

8 **Counsel for Defendant Kasowitz Benson
9 Torres & Friedman LLP**

Margaret Ziemianek, Esq.
Email: mziemianek@kasowitz.com
Email: courtnotices@kasowitz.com

10 **Counsel for SJ Berwin LLP**

Charles M. Tatelbaum, Esq.
Email: ctatelbaum@hinshawlaw.com
Email: csmith@hinshawlaw.com

13 **VIA EMAIL:**

14 **Counsel for Creditor Citibank, N.A.**

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton &
Garrison
Kelley A. Cornish, Esq.
Email: kcornish@paulweiss.com
Diane Meyers, Esq.
Email: dmeyers@paulweiss.com
Jacob J. Adlerstein, Esq.
Email: jadlerstein@paulweiss.com
ayoung@paulweiss.com

20 **Ballard Spahr LLP**
21 Matthew Moncur, Esq.
Email: moncurm@ballardspahr.com

22 **EMC Corporation**
23 c/o Receivable Management Services
24 Steven Sass, Esq.
Email: steven.sass@rmsna.com
25 Ronald Rowland, Esq.
Email: Ronald.rowland@rmsna.com

26 **Olin Corporation**
S. Christian Mullgardt
Email: scmullgardt@olin.com

1
2
VIA U.S. MAIL:
3

4 Richard Burdge, Esq.
5 The Burdge Law Firm PC
500 S Grand Ave Ste 1500
Los Angeles, CA 90071
6

7 Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esq.
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
8 1007 North Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19899
9 *Attorneys for Interested Party Connecticut General Life Insurance Company*

10 IKON Office Solutions
Recovery & Bankruptcy Group
3920 Arkwright Road, Suite 400
12 Macon, GA 31210

13 EMC Corporation
c/o RMS Bankruptcy Recovery Services
14 Attn: President or General/Managing Agent
P.O. Box 5126
15 Timonium, MD 21094-5126

16 Salter & Company LLC
17 4600 East-West Highway, Suite 300
Bethesda, MD 20814
18

19 County of Loudoun Virginia
Belkys Escobar
20 1 Harrison St., S.E. 5th Fl.
Leesburg, VA 20175-3102
21

22 Matura Farrington Staffing Services, Inc.
700 So. Flower Street, Suite 2505
23 Los Angeles, CA 90017

24 Guy Davis
Protiviti Inc.
25 1051 East Cary Street, Suite 602
Richmond, VA 23219
26

George E. Shoup, III
Development Specialists, inc.

1 6375 Riverside Drive, Suite 200
2 Dublin, OH 43017-5373

3 Kyle Everett
4 Development Specialists, Inc.
5 235 Pine Street, Suite 1150
6 San Francisco, CA 94104

7 James W. Martin
8 DHR International
9 5425 Wisconsin Ave., 4th Floor
10 Chevy Chase, MD 20815

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26